Form PTO-447A (Rev. 7-98)

Staple to face of Application

≠\$^{*}

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FO	OR S.N. 10086051
Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY	Name FRANK, ELLIOT L Date 3/25/2003
TO: Art Unit 2857 Class/sub 702/82	FROM: A.U. 2121 Class
REASON: No process control feedback from defect analysis required for 700/110. Claims directed to recording/sorting results. Gatekeeper concurrence	monitoring defects in process and
Section IIa. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC	By: A.U. Date
NOT ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier	by A.o. Date
REASON:	
Section IIb. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIE	
☐ This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/S	Sub / Post Classifier Date
	Concurring
\square This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	
Post Classifier Assessment:	•
Gatekeeper concurrence	Post Classifier Date
Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL	Date
Panel Decision: Forward	To Technology Center/Art Unit Class/sub /
REASON:	j. /
Panel Member	Concurring Panel Member
forward 6 7815 21	ser dunt lie.

TO-447.	A
-98)	

-98)		•	PATENT &	& TRADEMA	RK OFFICE
APPLICATION	N TRANSFER REQUES	ST FOR S.	N. 100	86051	
'I. TRANSFER REQUES	ST BY	Name F	RANK, EI	LLIOT L Da	te 3/25/2003
nit 2857 Class/sub 702/82		F	ROM: A.	U. 2121 Clas	SS
control feedback from defect rting results.	analysis required for 700/110. Claims d	lirected to monito	oring defec	ts in process a	and
Concurrence				•	
ion IIa. DISPOSITION BY	RECEIVING TC	By://2	A.U.	VSTDa	te 4-2-0
TACCEPTED Forward to rece	siving TC Post Classifier	77-	. ,		-
	aving 10 1 out Olassifici	√ .	/ /	207	
ASON. MAN The Knin Pro	ile 11-4001401 more 400	1 Shindow	6-6/21	100	
CASON: MAN The King pro	iless-appears more app	א א תניין טיין	را ودائ	200	
ection IIb. DISPOSITION BY	RECEIVING TO POST CLAS	SSIFIER	6-(1211		
ection IIb. DISPOSITION BY This dispute was resolved.	RECEIVING TO POST CLAS	SSIFIER		Post Class	fier
ection IIb. DISPOSITION BY	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS	SSIFIER		Post Class	
This dispute was resolved.	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS	SSIFIER Class/Sub		Post Class	fierate
I This dispute was resolved. I This dispute was not resolved, forward	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS Forward to TC/AU	SSIFIER Class/Sub		Post Class D	fierate
I This dispute was resolved. This dispute was not resolved, forwards Classifier Assessment:	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS Forward to TC/AU	SSIFIER Class/Sub L		Post Class D	fier ate ing
I This dispute was resolved. I This dispute was not resolved, forwards the Classifier Assessment: Intelegence on currence	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS Forward to TC/AU rd to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANE	Class/Sub L Pos		Post Class Concurr	fier ate ing
I This dispute was resolved. I This dispute was not resolved, forward the Classifier Assessment: I tekeeper concurrence Ection III. DISPOSITION BY	Forward to TC/AU rd to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANE	Class/Sub L Pos	. st Classifie	Post Class Concurr r Da	fierateateateate
This dispute was resolved. This dispute was not resolved, forwards Classifier Assessment: attekeeper concurrence ection III. DISPOSITION BY Itanel Decision:	RECEIVING TC POST CLAS Forward to TC/AU rd to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANE	Class/Sub L Pos	. st Classifie	Post Class Concurr r Da	fier
I This dispute was resolved. I This dispute was not resolved, forwards the control of the contr	Forward to TC/AU rd to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANE	Class/Sub L Pos NEL enter/Art Unit	. st Classifie	Post Class Concurr r Da	fier ate ing ate ate

Ede to 200- No reason for 600 to have this case. Mendy Guler